In re: Renee M. Kovales et al.

Serial No.: 09/782,564 Filed: February 13, 2001

Page 14 of 14

REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement of March 16, 2005, Applicant hereby confirms the election of Claims 1-22, 43-60, and 67-85 corresponding to Invention I, drawn to methods of enhancing audio renderings of non-audio data sources. Applicants have canceled Claims 23-42, 61-66, and 86-92 corresponding to Inventions II, III, and IV. This cancellation is being made without prejudice to the filing of a divisional application for these claims and/or other claims.

Applicant is not traversing the restriction requirement because Applicant agrees that unpatentability of Invention I would not necessarily imply unpatentability of Inventions II, III, and IV.

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully request favorable examination and allowance of Claims 1-22, 43-60, and 67-85.

Respectfully submitted,

David K. Purks

Registration No. 40,133 Attorney for Applicants

USPTO Customer No. 46589 Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400

Facsimile: (919) 854-1400